

## MPH Independent Integrative Learning Experience Statement of Completion Form

This document is used to certify the completion of an MPH Independent ILE project or thesis.

The following statement of completion and evaluation rubric were developed to document and ensure the quality of independent Integrative Learning Experiences (ILE) completed by UTHealth School of Public Health MPH students. Students and supervising faculty are encouraged to reference the evaluation rubric throughout the semester to ensure students are on track to successfully complete the project or thesis. The criteria provided in the rubric below are not the only criteria by which students are assessed as the supervising faculty are encouraged to provide a comprehensive assessment.

Students are required to submit this form after successful completion of the independent ILE to the Office of Academic Affairs and Student Services. Completion of this form is required for accreditation purposes.

| Name:   | Student ID: | lent ID:  |      |  |
|---|-------------|---|------|--|
| Major:  |             |   |      |  |
| ILE Course for Enrollment:  |             | Planned Final Product:  |      |  |
| Competency Selection:   |             |   |      |  |
| MPH Foundational Competencies   | 1           | 2   |      |  |
| Major-specific/ Advanced Public Health Competencies:  | 1           | 2   |      |  |
| <u>Synthesis Statement:</u> Describe in 250 words or less how t<br>synthesis statement can be found in the <u>MPH ILE Guide a</u> l | -           | al product synthesizes the competencies listed above. An exami<br>cructions.      | ple  |  |
| The following approvals signify successful o  |             | etion of the student's independent ILE project or thesis.  pprovals               |      |  |
| Advisor, Printed  |             | dvisor, Signature E   | Date |  |
|   |             | upervising Faculty/ Thesis Supervisor (if different from advisor), Signature    C | Date |  |
| Role: (if completed a thesis), Printe   | —<br>ed R   | ole: (if completed a thesis), Signature   | Date |  |
| Role: (if completed a thesis), Printe   | ed R        | ole: (if completed a thesis), Signature   | Date |  |



## MPH Independent Integrative Learning Experience Evaluation Rubric

Successful completion of the Independent ILE requires that students score a minimum total of 8 (independent ILE) or a 12 (thesis).

To be completed by the Supervising Faculty/ Thesis Supervisor in conjunction with all other committee members:

| Evaluation of the MPH Independent ILE Product(s)              |   |  |   |  |  |       |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|-------|--|--|
|   | Very Poor (0)   | Below Average (1)  | Average (2)   | Above Average (3)  | Excellent (4)  | Score |  |  |
| Knowledge   | Student did not<br>sufficiently<br>demonstrate<br>knowledge and<br>understanding of the<br>area of study.                 | Student's<br>demonstration of<br>knowledge and<br>understanding of the<br>area of study was<br>below average.                                    | Student<br>demonstrated<br>sufficient knowledge<br>and understanding of<br>the area of study.                           | Student's demonstration<br>of knowledge and<br>understanding of the<br>area of study was above<br>average.                               | Student demonstrated outstanding knowledge and understanding of the area of study.   |       |  |  |
| Written<br>Communication                                      | Written product does not meet formatting, grammatical and clarity expectations.   | Written product is below average with more than acceptable grammatical and formatting errors. Student's clarity and concision was below average. | Written product is sufficient with some grammatical and formatting errors. Student wrote with clarity and concision.    | Written product is above average with minimal grammatical and formatting errors. Student's clarity and concision was above average.      | Product was very well<br>written and in the<br>appropriate format.   |       |  |  |
| CEPH<br>Expectation for<br>MPH ILE Final<br>Product           | Student did not<br>produce a<br>satisfactory written<br>product.  | Student produced a written product that was below average.   | Student produced a satisfactory written product that aligns with the student's educational and professional objectives. | Student produced an above average written product that aligns with the student's educational and professional objectives.                | Student produced a high-quality written product that is appropriate for the student's educational and professional objectives. |       |  |  |
| Synthesis of MPH Foundational and Major-specific Competencies | Student did not<br>demonstrate<br>synthesis of the<br>selected MPH<br>Foundational and<br>Major-specific<br>Competencies. | Student's demonstration of synthesis of the selected MPH Foundational and Major-specific Competencies was below average.                         | Student's demonstration of synthesis of the selected MPH Foundational and Major-specific Competencies was sufficient.   | Student's demonstration<br>of synthesis of the<br>selected MPH<br>Foundational and Major-<br>specific Competencies<br>was above average. | Student's demonstration of synthesis of the selected MPH Foundational and Major-specific Competencies was comprehensive.       |       |  |  |
|   | Students comple   | ting an academic the   | sis should be evaluated   | on the following addition  | nal criteria:  |       |  |  |
| Thesis<br>Presentation  | Oral presentation does not meet formatting, grammatical and clarity expectations.   | Oral presentation was below average. Student did a fair job delivering the oral presentation.  | Oral presentation is sufficient. Student did a good job delivering the oral presentation.                               | Oral presentation was above average. Student did a very good job delivering the oral presentation.                                       | Student did an<br>outstanding job<br>delivering the oral<br>presentation.  |       |  |  |
| References  | References are inadequate/ inappropriately formatted and/or citations are missing.  | There are several problems with references or citations. Overall formatting is below average.  | There are some minor problems with citations or format.   | There are minimal problems with citations or format. Overall format is above average.  | Reference materials and bibliography are appropriately cited and formatted.  |       |  |  |
|   |   |  |   |  | Total  |       |  |  |

| For Office Use Only                       |       |                    |
|---|-------|--------------------|
|   |       | Student Plan Code: |
| Office of Academic Affairs Representative | Date: |                    |